

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,741	04/06/2001	Vivek Amir Jairazbhoy	10541/277	6704
29074	7590 12/29/2003		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			DUONG, THO V	
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	10
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003	, 19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		*			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/827,741	JAIRAZBHOY ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tho v Duong	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad- event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:	Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,10,11 and 16-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5,7-9,12-1	15 and 21.				
The drawing correction filed on is a) □ approved or b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme					
10. Other:	(2)(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Odion					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitation of "a cooling conduit defined by an outside wall having an outer surface engaging the inner wall" has raised new issue that would require further consideration and search in as far as it would necessitate a rejection that was not set forth in the Final Rejection mailed 10/1/2003.

Supervison Petent Examine